

The Relationship Between Lifestyle and Hope for Life with Social Support in Young Adults with Physical-Motor Disabilities in Tehran

Amirhosein. Akbarzade Incheh Kikanloo¹, Reza. Vala^{2*}

1 Master of Educational Psychology, Department of Psychology, Ro.C., Islamic Azad University, Roudehen, Iran

2 Assistant Professor, Department of Educational Sciences, Ro.C., Islamic Azad University, Roudehen, Iran

*Correspondence: vala@riau.ac.ir

Article type:
Original Research

Article history:
Received 21 January 2025
Revised 19 May 2025
Accepted 24 May 2025
Published online 01 June 2025

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to examine the relationship between lifestyle and hope for life with social support among young adults with physical-motor disabilities in the city of Tehran. This research was correlational in nature and fundamental in terms of purpose. The statistical population consisted of young individuals aged 20 to 35 years with physical-motor disabilities residing in four care and support centers in Tehran in 2023. From an accessible population of 400 individuals, a sample of 196 participants was selected through simple random sampling using the Morgan table. Data collection instruments included the Lifestyle Questionnaire (LSQ), Phillips Social Support Questionnaire, and Snyder et al.'s Hope Scale (1991). Pearson correlation and multiple regression analyses were conducted using SPSS version 26. The results showed a positive and significant relationship between lifestyle and social support ($r = 0.313, p < 0.01$). Additionally, a positive and significant relationship was observed between hope for life and social support ($r = 0.225, p < 0.01$). The results of the multiple regression analysis also indicated that lifestyle and hope for life together explained approximately 10% of the variance in social support ($R^2 = 0.101, p < 0.01$). These findings suggest that improving lifestyle and enhancing hope for life can lead to increased social support among young adults with physical-motor disabilities. Attention to these components can be effective in the design of support, rehabilitation, and mental health promotion programs for this group.

Keywords: lifestyle, hope for life, social support, youth, physical-motor disability

How to cite this article:

Akbarzade Incheh Kikanloo, A.H., & Vala, R. (2025). The Relationship Between Lifestyle and Hope for Life with Social Support in Young Adults with Physical-Motor Disabilities in Tehran. *Mental Health and Lifestyle Journal*, 3(2), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.61838/mhlj.3.2.6>

Introduction

In today's world, where social, cultural, and technological changes occur at an accelerated pace, the quality of life of various social groups—particularly special populations such as individuals with disabilities—has increasingly attracted the attention of researchers in the fields of psychology, sociology, and health sciences

(1-5). In this regard, social support is a concept referring to an individual's perception of acceptance, care, interest, and support from others such as family, friends, and society. Empirical evidence has shown that social support can play a buffering and protective role in stressful situations and positively influence individuals' psychological adjustment (6). Accordingly, social support has been identified as a key construct in the quality of life of patients, the elderly, and individuals with physical-motor disabilities (7, 8). Specifically, individuals with disabilities, due to physical limitations and restricted social interactions, have a greater need for receiving social support than others (9). Several studies have confirmed a positive relationship between social support and hope for life (10, 11).

Hope for life, as one of the fundamental constructs of positive psychology, plays a critical role in explaining resilience, motivation, and mental health. Snyder and colleagues developed the theory of hope based on two components: agency thinking (goal-directed energy) and pathway thinking (planning to achieve goals). Both components are enhanced through interaction with environmental resources and social support (12). Research has shown that perceived social support is one of the strongest predictors of hope for life and can mitigate the negative effects of illness, loneliness, and social deprivation (6, 13). Moreover, among adolescents and young adults, hope for life is not only a cognitive construct but also a key element in identity formation, making sense of experiences, and coping with the social stigma associated with disability (14).

Another essential component in the quality of life of individuals with disabilities is a healthy lifestyle. Lifestyle refers to a set of behaviors, habits, and attitudes associated with one's physical, mental, and social well-being. In recent years, a healthy lifestyle has been defined by indicators such as proper nutrition, physical activity, mental well-being, spirituality, healthy social relationships, and the avoidance of risky behaviors (15, 16). Studies show that a healthy lifestyle in individuals with disabilities can play a protective role against psychological disorders and increase self-efficacy, social competence, and hope (17, 18). Furthermore, in research focusing on health-promoting lifestyles, it has been demonstrated that social support and mental health are two essential prerequisites for adopting healthy behaviors (19, 20).

The interrelation of these three variables—lifestyle, hope for life, and social support—has also been examined through structural models. For instance, a study on patients with multiple sclerosis found that lifestyle could affect quality of life through the mediating role of psychological capital (21). Additionally, results from structural equation modeling research have shown that social support directly and indirectly—via hope for life and psychological adjustment—affects individuals' well-being (11, 13). Other findings also suggest that meaning and purpose in life training can improve resilience, perceived social support, and negative affect among students (22).

In Iran, despite efforts in education, rehabilitation, and welfare services, numerous challenges remain in improving the quality of life of individuals with physical-motor disabilities. Many young people with motor disabilities, when faced with environmental barriers, negative social attitudes, and limited educational and employment opportunities, experience a decline in hope and reduced social participation (23). In some regions, moreover, a culture of dependency and a patronizing attitude can hinder the development of psychological independence and social responsibility in individuals with disabilities (24). However, promoting a healthy lifestyle and creating opportunities for effective social support can play a foundational role in enhancing hope and quality of life among these groups.

Globally, various studies have also focused on the role of gender, cultural differences, and social inequalities in the reception of social support and the formation of lifestyle patterns. For example, research among African American citizens in the United States has shown that gender differences in disease management styles and perceived social support affect their quality of life (25). Similarly, among cancer patients, spiritual caregiving needs and perceived social support have been reported as significant predictors of hope for life (8).

Given the above, investigating the relationship between lifestyle, hope for life, and social support in young adults with physical-motor disabilities holds significant theoretical and practical importance. On one hand, this study can fill the gaps in the scientific literature regarding the interaction of these variables in the Iranian context; on the other hand, its findings can be utilized in the development of intervention programs grounded in positive psychology, social policymaking, and the planning of supportive services in welfare and rehabilitation centers. The present study aims, through an empirical approach, to provide a clear picture of these relationships and outline practical pathways to enhance the psychological and social well-being of young people with disabilities.

Methods and Materials

Study Design and Participants

This study employed a correlational research design and, in terms of purpose, is classified as basic research. The statistical population consisted of all young adults with physical-motor disabilities aged 20 to 35 years in four specialized centers for individuals with disabilities in Tehran during the year 2023. The estimated population was approximately 1,000 individuals, from which 400 participants were selected using convenience sampling. The inclusion criteria included having only a physical-motor disability and being in the age range of 20 to 35 years. Of the 400 individuals, 250 were male and the rest were female. Subsequently, based on the Morgan table and using simple random sampling, 196 young adults (both male and female) were selected and participated in the research process.

A fieldwork approach was employed for data collection. In the first step, the researcher obtained the necessary research permits from the university. Then, by visiting the Welfare Organization of Tehran and presenting an official letter of introduction, permission was obtained to access the four centers for individuals with physical-motor disabilities. The researcher collected the data simultaneously across the four centers using a combination of convenience and random sampling. In this phase, the Phillips Social Support Questionnaire was first distributed to the participants. After 20 minutes, the Lifestyle Questionnaire (LSQ) was administered, and finally, with a similar time interval, the Snyder et al. Hope Scale (1991) was distributed among the participants. Ethical research principles such as informed consent and confidentiality of participants' information were observed throughout all stages.

Data Collection

To measure the variable of social support, the Phillips Social Support Questionnaire was used. This instrument contains 23 items on a five-point Likert scale and is completed as a self-report paper-and-pencil format. It was developed in 1986 by Wax, Phillips, and colleagues based on Cobb's definition of social support and assesses three main components: family support, friend support, and support from others. In Iran,

Ebrahimi Ghavam (1992) adapted the tool by modifying the scoring method and evaluated its validity and reliability. Research conducted in Iran (e.g., Shahbakhsh, 2010, and Khabaz et al., 2012) has shown that the questionnaire possesses good reliability, with Cronbach's alpha coefficients for the entire scale reported between 0.74 and 0.91 in various studies.

To assess lifestyle, the Lifestyle Questionnaire (LSQ) was used. This instrument includes 70 four-choice questions based on a Likert scale and is also completed as a self-report paper-and-pencil tool. The dimensions assessed by this questionnaire include physical health, exercise and fitness, weight control and nutrition, disease prevention, psychological health, spiritual health, social health, drug avoidance, accident prevention, and environmental health. Responses are scored from 0 to 3 (ranging from "never" to "always"). The total score ranges from 0 to 210, with lifestyle classified into three levels: poor (0–70), moderate (70–105), and strong (above 105). In the study by Lali et al. (2012), construct validity was confirmed through factor analysis, and Cronbach's alpha for the entire questionnaire was reported at 0.87, with subscale alphas ranging from 0.76 to 0.89, indicating strong reliability and validity for lifestyle assessment.

To evaluate hope for life, the Adult Hope Scale by Snyder et al. (1991) was employed. This tool contains 12 items scored on an eight-point Likert scale (ranging from "completely false" to "completely true"). It consists of two subscales: agency thinking (items 2, 9, 10, and 12) and pathway thinking (items 1, 4, 6, and 8). Four items (3, 5, 7, and 11) are considered distractors and are not included in scoring. The total score range of the instrument is from 8 to 64, with higher scores indicating a higher level of hope. According to studies by Snyder et al. (1991) and domestic researchers such as Ghabari Bonab et al. (2007) and Kermani et al. (2011), the scale has demonstrated acceptable reliability. Cronbach's alpha coefficients have been reported between 0.82 and 0.86 for the total scale and between 0.77 and 0.88 for the subscales in Iranian studies. Multiple sources have also supported its construct, concurrent, and content validity both internationally and domestically.

Data analysis

Descriptive and inferential statistical methods were used for data analysis. In the descriptive section, indicators such as mean, median, mode, standard deviation, and variance were used to describe the characteristics of the statistical sample. In the inferential section, Pearson correlation and multivariate regression tests were used to examine the research hypotheses. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software version 26, and the significance level for hypothesis testing was set at $p < 0.01$. All analyses were carried out to address the main objectives of the study and explore the relationships between lifestyle, hope for life, and social support in young adults with physical-motor disabilities.

Findings and Results

In this study, a total of 196 young adults with physical-motor disabilities from Tehran participated. In terms of age distribution, 37 participants (18.9%) were in the age range of 18 to 25 years, 140 participants (71.4%) were between 26 and 35 years, 17 participants (8.7%) were between 36 and 45 years, and 2 participants (1.0%) were in the 46 to 56-year range. Additionally, gender distribution was equal, with 98 participants (50%) being female and 98 (50%) male. This relatively balanced gender distribution also allows for analysis and comparison from a gender-based perspective.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for the Variables of Lifestyle, Social Support, and Hope for Life

| Variables | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|----------------------------|-----|---------|---------|--------|--------------------|
| Physical Health | 196 | 12 | 24 | 17.61 | 2.934 |
| Exercise & Fitness | 196 | 7 | 26 | 14.32 | 4.592 |
| Weight Control & Nutrition | 196 | 7 | 27 | 16.62 | 3.847 |
| Disease Prevention | 196 | 7 | 28 | 20.12 | 3.420 |
| Psychological Health | 196 | 7 | 28 | 18.21 | 5.274 |
| Spiritual Health | 196 | 8 | 28 | 19.88 | 5.101 |
| Social Health | 196 | 9 | 28 | 20.39 | 4.554 |
| Drug Avoidance | 196 | 8 | 28 | 21.76 | 5.418 |
| Accident Prevention | 196 | 7 | 28 | 21.38 | 4.723 |
| Environmental Health | 196 | 7 | 28 | 20.90 | 4.091 |
| Total Lifestyle Score | 196 | 84 | 259 | 191.19 | 29.572 |
| Family Support | 196 | 11 | 35 | 24.40 | 4.525 |
| Friend Support | 196 | 8 | 40 | 26.11 | 5.133 |
| Others' Support | 196 | 15 | 42 | 27.67 | 5.328 |
| Total Social Support | 196 | 36 | 113 | 78.18 | 12.916 |
| Agency Thinking | 196 | 10 | 42 | 27.18 | 6.292 |
| Pathways | 196 | 10 | 35 | 23.14 | 6.142 |
| Total Hope for Life | 196 | 25 | 76 | 50.42 | 11.024 |

The table above presents descriptive indicators including minimum and maximum scores, means, and standard deviations for the variables of lifestyle, social support, and hope for life. The results show that the mean lifestyle score among participants was 191.19 with a standard deviation of 29.572, indicating a relatively favorable level of lifestyle in this group. Among the subscales of lifestyle, the highest mean was related to the drug avoidance component (21.76), and the lowest was related to exercise and fitness (14.32). Moreover, the total mean score of social support was reported to be 78.18 with a standard deviation of 12.916, reflecting a moderate to high level of social support in this population. Finally, the mean score for hope for life was 50.42 with a standard deviation of 11.024, indicating a relatively good level of hope for the future among participants. These indicators provide the foundation for subsequent inferential statistical analyses.

Prior to conducting inferential statistical analyses, the key assumptions of correlation and regression tests were examined. First, the normality of the distribution of variables was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and the results indicated that all the main research variables followed a normal distribution ($p > 0.05$). Additionally, scatterplots and correlation coefficients between variables confirmed the presence of linear relationships, thus satisfying the assumption of linearity. Multicollinearity was assessed through intercorrelations among the independent variables, and none of the correlation coefficients exceeded the critical threshold of 0.90, indicating no multicollinearity problem. The Durbin-Watson statistic was calculated at 1.98, which falls within the acceptable range of 1.5 to 2.5, confirming the independence of errors. Furthermore, the residual plots demonstrated random dispersion and normality of errors. Based on these results, all essential assumptions for performing regression and correlation analysis were confirmed, and the necessary conditions for inferential analysis were met.

Table 2. Correlation Matrix Between Lifestyle and Hope for Life with Social Support

| Variables | Hope for Life | Lifestyle |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Social Support | 0.225** | 0.313** |
| Significance Level | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| N | 196 | 196 |

The findings in Table 2 show that there is a positive and significant correlation between social support and lifestyle ($r = 0.313$, $p < 0.01$). Additionally, a positive and significant correlation was observed between social support and hope for life ($r = 0.225$, $p < 0.01$). These results indicate that higher levels of healthy lifestyle and hope for life are associated with increased levels of perceived social support. Overall, the findings from this table confirm the main hypothesis of the study, which posits a significant relationship between lifestyle and hope for life with social support.

Table 3. Regression Model of Lifestyle and Hope for Life in Predicting Social Support

| Multiple Correlation Coefficient (R) | Coefficient of Determination (R^2) | Adjusted R^2 | Standard Error of the Estimate |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------------|
| 0.317 | 0.101 | 0.091 | 12.313 |

The results in Table 3 show that the multiple correlation coefficient between lifestyle and hope for life with social support is 0.317, indicating a moderate and positive relationship between the predictor variables and the criterion variable. The coefficient of determination (R^2) is reported as 0.101, suggesting that approximately 10% of the variance in social support is explained by the two variables of lifestyle and hope for life. The adjusted R^2 is 0.091, which represents the proportion of variance explained after adjusting for potential errors. The standard error of the estimate is reported as 12.313, serving as a measure of the model's prediction accuracy.

Table 4. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Regression Model of Lifestyle and Hope for Life in Predicting Social Support

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Significance Level |
|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|--------|--------------------|
| Regression | 3270.944 | 2 | 1635.472 | 10.787 | 0.001 |
| Residual | 29260.443 | 193 | 151.609 | | |
| Total | 32531.388 | 195 | | | |

Table 4 presents the results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the regression model. According to the results, the F-statistic is 10.787, with a significance level of less than 0.001 ($p < 0.01$), indicating that the regression model is statistically significant. This means that the combination of the predictor variables—lifestyle and hope for life—plays a significant role in predicting social support. In other words, we can state with 99% confidence that the proposed model in this study is statistically meaningful, and the independent variables are capable of explaining the criterion variable.

Discussion and Conclusion

The findings of the present study revealed a significant positive relationship between lifestyle and social support among young adults with physical-motor disabilities. The correlation coefficient between these two variables was 0.313, with a significance level of less than 0.01. This indicates that the healthier the lifestyle observed in these individuals, the higher their perceived level of social support. Additionally, a significant positive relationship was also reported between hope for life and social support ($r = 0.225$, $p < 0.01$). The results of the multiple regression analysis showed that the variables of lifestyle and hope for life together explained approximately 10% of the variance in social support. These findings suggest that improving lifestyle and increasing hope for life can facilitate greater social support for this specific segment of society.

The above results can be interpreted through the lens of social and positive psychology theories. According to Snyder's theory, hope for life is a cognitive construct consisting of agency thinking (goal-directed

motivation) and pathways thinking (planning routes to goals). These two components are reinforced by social support and, in turn, also influence perceived support (6, 12). This finding aligns with numerous international studies. For example, the study by Hsu et al. demonstrated that among cancer patients, hope for life mediates the relationship between body image and resilience, and that social support strengthens this relationship (11). Likewise, in the research by Xiang et al., a longitudinal causal relationship between social support and hope was confirmed in adolescents, with social support considered a powerful source for the formation and sustainability of hope against despair and social pressure (10).

The present study's findings are also consistent with research by Mana et al., which showed that social support influences academic self-efficacy through the mediating role of hope (13). These findings emphasize the fundamental role of social and interpersonal resources in enhancing cognitive-emotional constructs such as hope. At the national level, the findings align with research by Abedi et al., who reported that training based on Choice Theory effectively increased hope for life among married women (17). Similarly, the study by Budak and Kaatsız confirms that in oncology patients, social support is a predictor of hope, and higher levels of received support are associated with greater hope for the future (8).

Regarding the relationship between lifestyle and social support, the findings of this study are comparable to several others. In the study by Beyk et al., spiritual lifestyle was related to adolescent happiness through psychological well-being (15). Likewise, Rostamnezhad et al. demonstrated in a structural model that lifestyle can predict quality of life in patients with multiple sclerosis through the mediating role of psychological capital (21). Their study also showed that a healthy lifestyle affects not only physical health but also social relationships and supportive resources. The present study's findings indicate that individuals with healthier lifestyles, through prosocial behavior, effective human interaction, and spirituality, are better equipped to receive social support.

Numerous studies have confirmed that a healthy lifestyle among individuals with chronic illnesses and physical disabilities may be associated with social support. Alizadeh et al. found a significant positive correlation between lifestyle and social support in women with multiple sclerosis, and that received social support predicted lifestyle outcomes (9). Additionally, Hajimiri et al. emphasized that general health and social support play a major role in shaping health-promoting lifestyles among postpartum mothers (19). The current study's results are consistent with these findings, showing that even in the context of physical disability, lifestyle is improvable and becomes more stable with the help of social support.

From a theoretical perspective, these relationships can be analyzed using interactionist frameworks grounded in social psychology. A healthy lifestyle—especially in psychological, spiritual, social, and risk-avoidance dimensions—naturally facilitates more positive interpersonal interactions and increases social capital. This process leads to the expansion of actual and perceived support networks. Simultaneously, the existence of social support networks can provide the motivation, psychological energy, and resources necessary to adopt and maintain a healthy lifestyle. Therefore, the relationship between these variables is reciprocal and reinforcing, and the present research takes a significant step toward analyzing this mutual cycle among the vulnerable population of young adults with physical-motor disabilities.

Moreover, the results align with studies emphasizing the role of educational programs focused on meaning, purpose, and social support in promoting mental health. For instance, the study by Karimi Dastaki and Mahmudi showed that meaning-centered therapy workshops improved resilience and social support

among students (22). The study by Pione et al. also highlights the importance of measuring and enhancing hope and resilience in caregivers of dementia patients, noting their effect on quality of life and reduction of psychological burnout (14).

At the same time, the findings are consistent with research such as that of Hanachi et al., who emphasize that socio-cultural differences and ethnic backgrounds can influence the receipt of social support and styles of social interaction—a factor particularly relevant for the population under study (young people with mobility limitations) (23). Furthermore, the study by Fahim et al. on lifestyle during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh showed that environmental and psychological stress can disrupt lifestyle and reduce social support (18).

Therefore, the findings of this study not only align with existing literature but also underscore the importance of simultaneously examining these three components—lifestyle, hope for life, and social support—within a specific community (young adults with physical-motor disabilities in Iran). This group, due to confronting physical barriers, negative societal attitudes, and structural challenges, requires more than others interventions based on positive psychology, healthy lifestyle education, and the strengthening of social support resources.

Among the limitations of this research is the use of convenience sampling and the focus on specific centers in Tehran, which may restrict the generalizability of the findings to the broader national context. Furthermore, the use of self-report tools increases the risk of response bias. Contextual variables such as the severity of disability, level of education, or economic status were not controlled in the analyses, which could influence the results.

Future research can explore causal relationships among the variables using longitudinal designs and examine mediating or moderating roles of constructs such as psychological capital, resilience, and spiritual support. It is also recommended that similar studies be conducted in other cities with greater cultural diversity. Employing qualitative methods to explore the lived experiences of individuals regarding lifestyle, hope, and social support can further enrich both theoretical and applied literature.

Based on the findings, it is recommended to design and implement empowerment and educational programs focused on healthy lifestyle promotion in rehabilitation centers, welfare organizations, and universities for young people with disabilities. Furthermore, expanding social support networks through civil institutions, families, and peers could significantly contribute to enhancing hope for the future among these individuals. Educating families and caregivers on the importance of emotional, social, and psychological support can also serve as a foundation for improving the mental health and quality of life of this specific population.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their deep gratitude to all participants who contributed to this study.

Authors' Contributions

All authors equally contributed to this study.

Declaration of Interest

The authors of this article declared no conflict of interest.

Ethical Considerations

The study protocol adhered to the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration, which provides guidelines for ethical research involving human participants.

Transparency of Data

In accordance with the principles of transparency and open research, we declare that all data and materials used in this study are available upon request.

Funding

This research was carried out independently with personal funding and without the financial support of any governmental or private institution or organization.

References

1. Bulut S, Bukhori B, Parsakia K. Enhancing Selective Attention in Children with Learning Disorders: Efficacy of Executive Functions Training. *KMAN Counseling & Psychology Nexus*. 2024;1(2):86-93. doi: 10.61838/kman.psychnexus.1.2.14.
2. Aghaziarati A, Fard FR, Rahimi H, Parsakia K. Investigating the Effect of Electrical Stimulation (tDCS) of the Prefrontal Cortex of the Brain on the Improvement of Behavioral and Neurological Symptoms of Children with Specific Learning Disabilities. *Health Nexus*. 2023;1(2):44-50.
3. Vatankhah M, Bakhtiarpour S. The effectiveness of the child-centered solution-focused skills training method on life and communication skills of children with intellectual disabilities. *Quarterly Journal of Psychology of Exceptional Individuals*. 2025;13(15):25-32.
4. Nevill RE. Caregiver ECHO: A Model for Delivering Virtual Behavior Management Education to Families of Children With Neurodevelopmental Disorders. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*. 2025;130(2):104-15. doi: 10.1352/1944-7558-130.2.104.
5. Savenysheva SS, Razygraeva Y. Parental Burnout, Post-Traumatic Growth and Social Support for Mothers of Children With Disabilities. *Vestnik of Saint Petersburg University Psychology*. 2024;14(1):128-42. doi: 10.21638/spbu16.2024.108.
6. He Y, Wang R, Mo L, Feng L. Mediating Effects of Perceived Social Support on the Relationship Between Comfort and Hope in Hospitalized Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 2024;2024(1). doi: 10.1155/2024/6774939.
7. Li M, Yang Y, Liu L, Wang L. Effects of Social Support, Hope and Resilience on Quality of Life Among Chinese Bladder Cancer Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*. 2016. doi: 10.1186/s12955-016-0481-z.
8. Budak SE, Kaatsız MAA. The Effect of Perceived Social Support and Spiritual Care Needs in Predicting Hope in Oncology Patients. *Cancer Nursing*. 2024. doi: 10.1097/ncc.0000000000001370.
9. Alizadeh T, Keshavarz Z, Mirghafourvand M, Zayeri F. Investigation of health promoting lifestyle and social support and their correlation among Iranian women with multiple sclerosis. *Int J Women's Health Reprod Sci*. 2018;6(2):167-73. doi: 10.15296/ijwhr.2018.28.
10. Xiang G, Teng Z, Li Q, Chen H, Guo C. The influence of perceived social support on hope: A longitudinal study of older-aged adolescents in China. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2020;119:105616. doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105616.

11. Hsu H-T, Juan C-H, Chen J-L, Hsieh H-F. Mediator roles of social support and hope in the relationship between body image distress and resilience in breast cancer patients undergoing treatment: A modeling analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2021;12:695682.
12. Shadkam H, Abolqasem Y, Firoozeh Zangeneh M, Zabih P, Hossein M. Designing an academic vitality model based on meaning and hope in life with the mediation of happiness among female high school students in Hamedan city. *Islamic Lifestyle with a Focus on Health*. 2022;6(4):187-95.
13. Mana A, Saka N, Dahan O, Ben-Simon A, Margalit M. Implicit Theories, Social Support, and Hope as Serial Mediators for Predicting Academic Self-Efficacy Among Higher Education Students. *Learning Disability Quarterly*. 2020;45(2):85-95. doi: 10.1177/0731948720918821.
14. Pione RD, Stoner CR, Cartwright AV, Spector A. Measuring hope and resilience in carers of people living with dementia: The positive psychology outcome measure for carers (PPOM-C). *Dementia*. 2023;22(5):978-94. doi: 10.1177/14713012231165113.
15. Beyk H, Dehaghan H, Farahmand S, Bahador E. Prediction of adolescent happiness based on spiritual lifestyle with the mediation of mental health. *Journal of Adolescent and Youth Psychological Studies (JAYPS)*. 2023;4(5):95-102. doi: 10.61838/kman.jayps.4.5.9.
16. HosseiniTavan SH, Taher M, Nuhi SH, Rajab A. Comparison of the Effectiveness of Positive Psychology and Motivational Interviewing on Lifestyle and Psychological Capital in People with Type 2 Diabetes. *Biannual Peer Review Journal of Clinical Psychology & Personality*. 2023;21(1):155-70.
17. Abedi G, Ataefar R, Ghamari M. The Effectiveness of Group Marital Conflict Resolution Training Based on Choice Theory on Emotional Divorce and Hope for Life in Married Women. *International Journal of Education and Cognitive Sciences*. 2024;5(3):136-46. doi: 10.61838/kman.ijecs.5.3.10.
18. Fahim AU, Liza SJ, Lina SJ, Salim MAA. Study of Mental Stress Related with Lifestyle During COVID-19: A Case Study of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Education and Cognitive Sciences*. 2022;3(2):30-41. doi: 10.22034/injoeas.2022.160611.
19. Hajimiri K, Shakibazadeh E, Mehrizi AAH, Shab-Bidar S, Sadeghi R. The Impact of General Health and Social Support on Health Promoting Lifestyle in the First Year Postpartum: The Structural Equation Modelling. *Electronic Physician*. 2018;10(1):6231-9. doi: 10.19082/6231.
20. Van Zyl N, Andrews L, Williamson H, Meyrick J. The effectiveness of psychosocial interventions to support psychological well-being in post-operative bariatric patients: A systematic review of evidence. *Obesity Research & Clinical Practice*. 2020;14(5):404-20. doi: 10.1016/j.orcp.2020.05.005.
21. Rostamnezhad M, Tayyebi PD, A., Abolghasemi PD, S., EbrahimiRad PD, R. Presentation of a Structural Model Explaining MS Patients' Quality of Life based on their Lifestyle with the Mediating Role of Psychological Capital. *Quarterly Journal Of Family and Research*. 2021;18(1):87-104.
22. Karimi Dastaki A, Mahmudi M. The Effectiveness of Life Meaning Workshops on Resilience, Negative Affect, and Perceived Social Support in Students. *Journal of Psychological Dynamics in Mood Disorders (PDMD)*. 2024;3(1):187-97. doi: 10.22034/pdmd.2024.448984.1063.
23. Hanachi N, Khademian T, Adhami A. Sociological Investigation of Cultural-Social Influence of Afghanistan Immigrant Families from Iran (Case study of Tehran city). *Iranian Journal of Educational Sociology*. 2023;6(2):122-30. doi: 10.61186/ijes.6.2.122.
24. Parsamehr M, Rasoulinezhad SP. The Study of the Relationship between Lifestyle and Social Health among People of Talesh City. *Quarterly Journal of Social Development (Previously Human Development)*. 2015;10(1):35-66. doi: 10.22055/qjsd.2015.11936.
25. Tseng H-HK, Nkimbeng M, Han H-R. Gender differences in psychosocial characteristics and diabetes self-management among inner-city African Americans. *Nursing Open*. 2022;9(5):2425-33. doi: 10.1002/nop2.1259.